



House of Representatives

General Assembly

File No. 557

February Session, 2008

Substitute House Joint Resolution No. 22

House of Representatives, April 9, 2008

The Committee on Judiciary reported through REP. LAWLOR of the 99th Dist., Chairperson of the Committee on the part of the House, that the substitute joint resolution ought to be adopted.

RESOLUTION CONFIRMING THE DECISION OF THE CLAIMS COMMISSIONER TO DENY OR DISMISS CERTAIN CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE.

Resolved by this Assembly:

1 Section 1. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file
2 number 20740 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim
3 against the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of
4 Gary W. Adams, is confirmed.

5 Sec. 2. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
6 20395 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
7 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Glen
8 Bailey, is confirmed.

9 Sec. 3. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
10 20478 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
11 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Herbert
12 Batista, is confirmed.

13 Sec. 4. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
14 20606 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
15 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Angelope
16 Bennett, is confirmed.

17 Sec. 5. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
18 21243 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
19 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Chandra
20 Ann Bozelko, is confirmed.

21 Sec. 6. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
22 20981 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
23 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Edan
24 Calabrese, is confirmed.

25 Sec. 7. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
26 21033 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
27 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Vincent
28 and Nancy DeFilippo, is confirmed.

29 Sec. 8. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
30 20908 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
31 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Jane Doe,
32 ppa minor child A, is confirmed.

33 Sec. 9. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
34 20909 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
35 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Jane Doe,
36 ppa minor child B, is confirmed.

37 Sec. 10. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
38 20910 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
39 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Jane Doe,
40 ppa minor child C, is confirmed.

41 Sec. 11. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
42 21100 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
43 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Kenneth

44 Gambardella, is confirmed.

45 Sec. 12. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
46 20682 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
47 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Stephen
48 Gregory, is confirmed.

49 Sec. 13. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
50 20786 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
51 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Chris O.
52 Hasfal, is confirmed.

53 Sec. 14. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
54 20987 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
55 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of
56 Christopher O. Hasfal, is confirmed.

57 Sec. 15. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
58 20427 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
59 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Orgeby
60 Hollby, is confirmed.

61 Sec. 16. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
62 20629 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
63 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Angel
64 Ibarrondo, is confirmed.

65 Sec. 17. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
66 20342 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
67 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of David L.
68 Joyce, is confirmed.

69 Sec. 18. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
70 21025 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
71 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Bruce
72 King, is confirmed.

73 Sec. 19. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number

74 20918 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
75 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of David
76 Kuban, is confirmed.

77 Sec. 20. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
78 20559 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
79 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Steven
80 Craig Miller, is confirmed.

81 Sec. 21. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
82 20895 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
83 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Dennis
84 Moore, is confirmed.

85 Sec. 22. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
86 20212 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
87 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Brian
88 Niblack, is confirmed.

89 Sec. 23. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
90 20745 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
91 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Brian
92 Niblack, is confirmed.

93 Sec. 24. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
94 20495 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
95 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Richard
96 R. Quint, is confirmed.

97 Sec. 25. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
98 20558 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
99 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of the estate
100 of Daniel Riley, is confirmed.

101 Sec. 26. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
102 20045 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
103 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Evelyn
104 Lebron Rivera, is confirmed.

105 Sec. 27. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
106 20591 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
107 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Shawn A.
108 Smith, is confirmed.

109 Sec. 28. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
110 21005 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
111 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Robert
112 Timmons, is confirmed.

113 Sec. 29. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
114 20378 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
115 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Marvin
116 Wilson, is confirmed.

JUD *Joint Favorable Subst.*

The following fiscal impact statement and bill analysis are prepared for the benefit of members of the General Assembly, solely for the purpose of information, summarization, and explanation, and do not represent the intent of the General Assembly or either chamber thereof for any purpose:

OFA Fiscal Note**State Impact:**

Agency Affected	Fund-Effect	FY 09 \$	FY 10 \$
Comptroller - Adjudicated Claims Account; Various State Agencies	GF -Avoidance of Potential Cost	Significant	Significant

Note: GF=General Fund

Municipal Impact: None

Explanation

The resolution accepts the Claims Commissioner's recommendations to dismiss various claims against the state. The resolution thereby avoids a potential, significant state cost for any judgments that might be rendered against it. It also precludes a workload increase for the Office of the Attorney General and potential significant costs to various state agencies named as defendants in the claims as these agencies would bear any litigation expenses incurred.

The Out Years

There is no fiscal impact in the out years since the resolution resolves these claims.

OLR Bill Analysis

sHJ 22

***RESOLUTION CONFIRMING THE DECISION OF THE CLAIMS
COMMISSIONER TO DENY OR DISMISS CERTAIN CLAIMS
AGAINST THE STATE.***

SUMMARY:

The Office of Legislative Research does not analyze Resolutions.

COMMITTEE ACTION

Judiciary Committee

Joint Favorable Substitute

Yea 42 Nay 0 (03/24/2008)